

HOW TO USE THE FUNCTIONAL EMPIRICAL PROCESS FOR DERIVING ASYMPTOTIC LAWS FOR FUNCTIONS OF THE SAMPLE

GANE SAMB LO

ABSTRACT. The functional empirical process is a very powerful tool for deriving asymptotic laws for almost any kind of statistics whenever we know how to express them into functions of the sample. Since this method seems to be applied more and more in the very recent future, this paper is intended to provide a complete but short description and justification of the method and to illustrate it with a non trivial example using bivariate data. It may also serve for citation without repeating the arguments.

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of this paper came out from master and PhD courses on weak convergence theory of the author in many universities. We aimed at giving the attendance a powerful tool for finding the asymptotic normality law of almost any kind of statistics, whenever the latter exists and the expressions of the statistics in the sample are known. This tool is the functional empirical process. Usually, this theory is not taught in normal courses, but is usually part of dissertation projects. The reason is the theory is new and it is implicitly assumed that one has to deal with Donsker classes, Vapnik-Cervonenkis theory (see [1]) or entropy numbers, in brief that one has to deal with convergence of stochastic processes. Here, we do not need the big theory in van der vaart and Wellner [2], Gaenssler [3] or in Pollard [4] or in books like them.

But at the finite-distribution level, functional empirical processes can be reasonably easy to use and to justify while keeping its intrinsic power. We decided to write a paper that explains all about it at the theoretical level. And next to give a non trivial example. We choose to deal with the linear correlation that has two interests. On one hand, we deal with samples of couples of real random variables, to show that the method goes far beyond real random variables. On another hand, the computations are indeed somewhat heavy but are also reasonable to allow to serve as an example for any other case.

It turns now that the paper is not only for master students but for researchers in Probability and Statistics, in Economics, and other areas using stochastic methods.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 62E20, 62F05. Secondary 62F12, 62GF17.

Key words and phrases. functional empirical processes, asymptotic distribution, linear correlation coefficient.

As an example, a new theory of arbitrary Jarque-Berra's type normality law (see [5]) is entirely based on this tool in [6].

We are sure that readers of the paper will find it very useful in their everyday research works.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the parameter we are estimating, here the linear correlation coefficient, and its estimator and next establish the asymptotic normality. In Section 3, we describe our tool, the empirical process and provide all of its needed features. The proof of the results will be given finally in Section 4. We end the paper with a conclusion and recommendations in Section 5.

2. WEAK CONVERGENCE OF THE EMPIRICAL LINEAR CORRELATION COEFFICIENT

As said already, we are going to illustrate our tool on the plug-in estimator of the linear correlation coefficient of two random variable (X, Y) , with neither of X and Y is degenerated, defined as follows

$$\rho = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_x^2 \sigma_y^2}$$

where

$$\mu_x = \int x dP_X(x), \mu_y = \int y dP_Y(y), \sigma_{xy} = \int (x - \mu_x)(y - \mu_y) dP_{(X,Y)}(x, y).$$

$$\sigma_x^2 = \int (x - \mu_x)^2 dP_X(x), \sigma_y^2 = \int (y - \mu_y)^2 dP_Y(y).$$

We also dismiss the case where $|\rho| = 1$, for which one of X and Y is an affine function of the other, for example $X = aY + b$. It is clear that centering the

variables X and Y and normalizing them by their standard deviations σ_x and σ_y does not change the correlation coefficient ρ . So we may and do center X and Y at their expectations and normalize them so that we can and do assume that

$$\mu_x = \mu_y = 0, \sigma_x = \sigma_y = 1.$$

However, we will let these coefficient appear with their names and we only use their particular values at the conclusion stage.

Let us consider the plug-in estimator of ρ . To this end, let $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots$ be a sequence independent observations of (X, Y) . For each $n \geq 1$, the plug-in estimator is the following

$$\rho_n = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y}) \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \times \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \right\}^{-1/2}$$

We are going to give the asymptotic theory of ρ_n as an estimator of ρ . Introduce the notation

$$\mu_{(p,x),(q,y)} = E((X - \mu_x)^p (Y - \mu_y)^q), \mu_{4,x} = E(X - \mu_x)^4, \mu_{4,y} = E(Y - \mu_y)^4$$

Here is our main Theorem

Theorem 1. *Suppose that neither of X and Y is degenerated and both have finite fourth moments and that X^3Y and XY^3 have finite expectations. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\sqrt{n}(\rho_n - \rho) \rightsquigarrow N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 = & \sigma_x^{-2} \sigma_y^{-2} (1 + \rho^2/2) \mu_{(2,x),(2,y)} + \rho^2 (\sigma_x^{-4} \mu_{4,x} + \sigma_y^{-4} \mu_{4,y})/4 \\ & - \rho (\sigma_x^{-3} \sigma_y^{-1} \mu_{(3,x),(1,y)} + \sigma_x^{-1} \sigma_y^{-3} \mu_{(1,x),(3,y)}) \end{aligned}$$

This result enables to test independence between X and Y , or to test non linear correlation in the following sense.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold. Then*

(1) *If X and Y are not linearly correlated, that is $\rho \neq 0$, we have*

$$\sqrt{n}\rho_n \rightsquigarrow N(0, \sigma_1^2),$$

where

$$\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_x^{-2} \sigma_y^{-2} \mu_{(2,x),(2,y)}.$$

(2) *If X and Y are independent, then $\rho = 0$, and*

$$\sqrt{n}\rho_n \rightsquigarrow N(0, 1)$$

3. THE FUNCTIONAL EMPIRICAL PROCESS AND OTHER TOOLS

Let $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ be a sequence of independent copies of a random variable Z defined on the same probability space with values on some metric space (S, d) . Define for each $n \geq 1$, the functional empirical process by

$$\mathbb{G}_n(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}f(Z_i)),$$

where f is a real and measurable function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{V}_Z(f) = \int (f(x) - \mathbb{P}_Z(f))^2 dP_Z(x) < \infty,$$

which entails

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{P}_Z(|f|) = \int |f(x)| dP_Z(x) < \infty.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{F}(S)$ - $\mathcal{F}$ for short - the class of real-valued measurable functions that are defined on S such that (3.1) holds. The space $\mathcal{F}$, when endowed with the addition and the external multiplication by real scalars, is a linear space. Next, it remarkabke that $\mathbb{G}_n$ is linear on $\mathcal{F}$, that is for f and g in $\mathcal{F}$ and for $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$a\mathbb{G}_n(f) + b\mathbb{G}_n(g) = \mathbb{G}_n(af + bg).$$

We have this result

Lemma 1. *Given the notation above, then for any finite number of elements $f_1, \dots, f_k$ of $\mathcal{S}$, $k \geq 1$, we have*

$${}^t(\mathbb{G}_n(f_1), \dots, \mathbb{G}_n(f_k)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}_k(0, \Gamma(f_i, f_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}),$$

where

$$\Gamma(f_i, f_j) = \int (f_i - \mathbb{P}_Z(f_i))(f_j - \mathbb{P}_Z(f_j)) d\mathbb{P}_Z(x), 1 \leq i, j \leq k.$$

PROOF. It is enough to use the Cramér-Wold Criterion (see for example Billingsley [7], page 45), that is to show that for any $a = {}^t(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, by denoting $T_n = {}^t(\mathbb{G}_n(f_1), \dots, \mathbb{G}_n(f_k))$, we have $\langle a, T_n \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle a, T \rangle$ where T follows the $\mathcal{N}_k(0, \Gamma(f_i, f_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k})$ law and $\langle \circ, \circ \rangle$ stands for the usual product scalar in $\mathbb{R}^k$. But, by the standard central limit theorem in $\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\langle a, T_n \rangle = \mathbb{G}_n\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_i\right) \rightsquigarrow N(0, \sigma_\infty^2),$$

where, for $g = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} a_i f_i$,

$$\sigma_\infty^2 = \int (g(x) - \mathbb{P}_Z(g))^2 dP_Z(x)$$

and this easily gives

$$\sigma_\infty^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} a_i a_j \Gamma(f_i, f_j),$$

so that $N(0, \sigma_\infty^2)$ is the law of $\langle a, T \rangle$. The proof is finished.

3.1. How to use the tool. We usually work with usual asymptotic statistics on $\mathbb{R}^k$. Once we have our sample $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ as random variables defined in the same probability space with values in $\mathbb{R}^k$, the studied statistics, say T_n , is usually a combinations of expressions of the form

$$H_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k H(Z_i)$$

for $H \in \mathcal{F}$. We use this simple expansion, for $\mu(H) = \mathbb{E}H(Z)$,

$$(3.3) \quad H_n = \mu(H) + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(H).$$

We have that $\mathbb{G}_n(H)$ is asymptotically bounded in probability since $\mathbb{G}_n(H)$ weakly converges to, say $M(H)$ and then by the continuous mapping theorem $\|\mathbb{G}_n(H)\| \rightsquigarrow \|M(H)\|$. Since all the $\mathbb{G}_n(H)$ are defined on the same probability space, we get for all $\lambda > 0$, by the assertion of the Portmanteau Theorem for concerning open sets,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\|\mathbb{G}_n(H)\| > \lambda) \leq P(\|M(H)\| > \lambda)$$

and then

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\|\mathbb{G}_n(H)\| > \lambda) \leq \limsup P(\|M(H)\| > \lambda) = 0.$$

From this, we use the big $O_{\mathbb{P}}$ notation, that is $\mathbb{G}_n(H) = O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Formula (3.3) becomes

$$H_n = \mu(H) + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(H) = \mu(H) + O_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/2})$$

and we will be able to use the delta method. Indeed, let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuously differentiable on a neighborhood of $\mu(H)$. The mean value theorem leads to

$$(3.4) \quad g(H_n) = g(\mu(H)) + g'(\mu_n(H)) n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(H)$$

where

$$\mu_n(H) \in [(\mu(H) + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(H)) \wedge \mu(H), (\mu(H) + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(H)) \vee \mu(H)]$$

so that

$$|\mu_n(H) - \mu(H)| \leq n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(H) = O_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1/2}).$$

Then $\mu_n(H)$ converges to $\mu(H)$ in probability (denoted $\mu_n(H) \rightarrow_{\mathbb{P}} \mu(H)$). But the convergence in probability to a constant is equivalent to the weak convergence. Then $\mu_n(H) \rightsquigarrow \mu(H)$. Using again the continuous mapping theorem, $g'(\mu_n(H)) \rightsquigarrow g'(\mu(H))$ which in tern yields $g'(\mu_n(H)) \rightarrow_{\mathbb{P}} g'(\mu(H))$ by the characterization of the weak convergence to a constant. Now (3.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g(H_n) &= g(\mu(H)) + (g'(\mu(H) + o_P(1)) n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(H) \\ &= g(\mu(H)) + g'(\mu(H)) \times n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(H) + o_P(1) n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(H) \\ &= g(\mu(H)) + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(g'(\mu(H))H) + o_P(n^{-1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

We arrive at the final expansion

$$(3.5) \quad g(H_n) = g(\mu(H)) + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(g'(\mu(H)H) + o_P(n^{-1/2}).$$

The method consists in using the expansion (3.5) as many times as needed and next to do some algebra on these expansions. By using the same techniques as above, we have these three formulas

Lemma 2. *Let (A_n) and (B_n) be two sequences of real valued random variables defined on the same probability space holding the sequence $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$. Let A and B be two real numbers and Let $L(z)$ and $H(z)$ be two real-valued functions of $z \in S$. Suppose that $A_n = A + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(L) + o_P(n^{-1/2})$ and $A_n = B + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(H) + o_P(n^{-1/2})$. Then*

$$A_n + B_n = A + B + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(L + H) + o_P(n^{-1/2}),$$

$$A_n B_n = AB + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(BL + AH)$$

and if $B \neq 0$,

$$\frac{A_n}{B_n} = \frac{A}{B} + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n\left(\frac{1}{B}L - \frac{A}{B^2}H\right) + o_P(n^{-1/2})$$

By putting together all the described steps in a smart way, the methodology will lead us to a final result of the form

$$T_n = t + n^{-1/2} \mathbb{G}_n(h) + o_P(n^{-1/2})$$

which entails the weak convergence

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n - t) = \mathbb{G}_n(h) + o_P(1) \rightsquigarrow N(0, \Gamma(h, h)).$$

We are now in position to apply right here the methodology on the empirical linear correlation coefficient.

4. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

We are going to use the function empirical process based on the observations $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, 2, \dots$ that are independent copies of (X, Y) . Write

$$\rho_n^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i - \bar{X} \bar{Y}}{\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - \bar{Y}^2 \right\}^{1/2}} = \frac{A_n}{B_n}.$$

Let us say for once that all the functions of (X, Y) that will appear below are measurable and have finite second moments. Let us handle separately the numerator and denominator. To treat A_n , using the empirical process implies that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i = \mu_{xy} + n^{-1/2} G_n(p), \\ \bar{X} = \mu_x + n^{-1/2} G_n(\pi_1), \\ \bar{Y} = \mu_y + n^{-1/2} G_n(\pi_2), \end{cases}$$

where $p(x, y) = xy$, $\pi_1(x, y) = x$, $\pi_2(x, y) = y$. From there we use the fact that $G_n(g) = O_P(1)$ for $\mathbb{E}(g(X, Y)^2) < +\infty$ and get

$$(4.2) \quad A_n = \mu_{xy} + n^{-1/2}G_n(p) - (\mu_x + n^{-1/2}G_n(\pi_1))(\mu_y + n^{-1/2}G_n(\pi_2)).$$

This leads to

$$A_n = \sigma_{xy} + n^{-1/2}G_n(H_1) + o_P(n^{-1/2})$$

with

$$H_1(x, y) = p(x, y) - \mu_x\pi_2 - \mu_y\pi_1.$$

Next, we have to handle B_n . Since the roles of $\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2\right\}^{1/2}$ and of $\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - \bar{Y}^2\right\}^{1/2}$ are symmetrical, we treat one of them and extend the results to the other. Consider $\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2\right\}^{1/2}$. From (4.1), use the delta method to get

$$\bar{X}^2 = \left(\mu_x + n^{-1/2}G_n(\pi_1)\right)^2 = \mu_x^2 + 2\mu_x n^{-1/2}G_n(\pi_1) + o_P(n^{-1/2})$$

that is

$$\bar{X}^2 = \left(\mu_x + n^{-1/2}G_n(\pi_1)\right)^2 = \mu_x^2 + n^{-1/2}G_n(2\mu_x\pi_1) + o_P(n^{-1/2}).$$

From there, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2 &= m_{2,x} + n^{-1/2}G_n(\pi_1^2) - \bar{X}^2 \\ &= m_{2,x} - \mu_x^2 + n^{-1/2}G_n(\pi_1^2 - 2\mu_x\pi_1) + o_P(n^{-1/2}) \\ &= \sigma_x^2 + n^{-1/2}G_n(\pi_1^2 - 2\mu_x\pi_1) + o_P(n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Delta-method once again leads to

$$\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2\right\}^{1/2} = \sigma_x + n^{-1/2}G_n\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_x}\{\pi_1^2 - 2\mu_x\pi_1\}\right) + o_P(n^{-1/2}).$$

In a similar way, we get

$$\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - \bar{Y}^2\right\}^{1/2} = \sigma_y + n^{-1/2}G_n\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_y}\{\pi_2^2 - 2\mu_y\pi_2\}\right) + o_P(n^{-1/2}).$$

We arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &= \left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2\right\}^{1/2} \left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - \bar{Y}^2\right\}^{1/2} \\ &= \sigma_x\sigma_y + n^{-1/2}G_n\left(\frac{\sigma_y}{2\sigma_x}\{\pi_1^2 - 2\mu_x\pi_1\} + \frac{\sigma_x}{2\sigma_y}\{\pi_2^2 - 2\mu_y\pi_2\}\right) + o_P(n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

By setting

$$H_2(x, y) = \frac{\sigma_y}{2\sigma_x} \{\pi_1^2 - 2\mu_x\pi_1\} + \frac{\sigma_x}{2\sigma_y} \{\pi_2^2 - 2\mu_y\pi_2\}$$

we have

$$(4.3) \quad B_n = \sigma_x\sigma_y + n^{-1/2}G_n(H_2) + n^{-1/2}.$$

Now, combining (4.2) and (4.3) and using Lemma 1 yield

$$\sqrt{n}(\rho_n^2 - \rho^2) = n^{-1/2}G_n\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_x\sigma_y}H_1 - \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_x^2\sigma_y^2}H_2\right) + o_P(1).$$

Put

$$H = \frac{1}{\sigma_x\sigma_y}(p(x, y) - \mu_x\pi_2 - \mu_y\pi_1) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma_x\sigma_y} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2} \{\pi_1^2 - 2\mu_x\pi_1\} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_y^2} \{\pi_2^2 - 2\mu_y\pi_2\} \right\}.$$

Now we continue with the centered and normalized case to get

$$H(x, y) = p(x, y) - \frac{\rho}{2}(\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2)$$

and

$$H(X, Y) = XY - \frac{\rho}{2}(X^2 + Y^2).$$

Denote

$$\mu_{(p,x),(q,y)} = E((X - \mu_x)^p(Y - \mu_y)^q).$$

We have

$$EH(X, Y) = \sigma_{xy} - \rho = 0$$

and $varH(X, Y)$ is equal to

$$\mu_{(2,x),(2,y)} + \rho^2(\mu_{4,x} + \mu_{4,y})/4 - \rho(\mu_{(3,x),(1,y)} + \mu_{(1,x),(3,y)}) + \rho^2\mu_{(2,x),(2,y)}/2$$

and finally $varH(X, Y) = \sigma_0^2$ with

$$\sigma_0^2 = (1 + \rho^2/2)\mu_{(2,x),(2,y)} + \rho^2(\mu_{4,x} + \mu_{4,y})/4 - \rho(\mu_{(3,x),(1,y)} + \mu_{(1,x),(3,y)}).$$

This gives the conclusion that for centered and normalized X and Y ,

$$\sqrt{n}(\rho_n - \rho) \rightsquigarrow N(0, \sigma_0^2).$$

Next, if we use the normalizing coefficients in σ_0 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 &= \sigma_x^2\sigma_y^2(1 + \rho^2/2)\mu_{(2,x),(2,y)} + \rho^2(\sigma_x^4\mu_{4,x} + \sigma_y^4\mu_{4,y})/4 \\ &\quad - \rho(\sigma_x^3\sigma_y\mu_{(3,x),(1,y)} + \sigma_x\sigma_y^3\mu_{(1,x),(3,y)}) \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude in the general case that

$$\sqrt{n}(\rho_n - \rho) \rightsquigarrow N(0, \sigma^2)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 follows by easy computations under the particular conditions of ρ and under independence.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This paper was intended to learn how to use the functional empirical process for deriving asymptotic normality laws. We saw that the method is powerful and sometimes straightforward. But the computations may be huge. Fortunately, in each case, we might be able to use specific properties of studied statistics. In the example we treated, we use the property that the correlation coefficient remains the same under location and scale parameter changes. This allowed to reduce the computations to centered and normalized random variables.

This work has sparked in our mind the ideas of a handbook in which as much as possible applications of this method in any area of Probability theory and Statistics will be gathered. We will surely go into it in the future

Acknowledgement

We express our thanks to our last master class in the Mathematical department of University of Sciences, Techniques and Technologies of Bamaka, in June 2016, where we made the decision to write this paper. Thanks to the attendance ; Chérif Traoré, Maryam Traoré, Paul Akounid, Diarra, Harouna Sangaré, Soumaila Demebele, Mouminou Diallo. We extend our thanks to the agency AUF which funded the mission there and the department of mathematics and the USTTB through Dr Yaya Koné and Professor Ouateni Diallo.

REFERENCES

- [1] Vapnik V.N. and Vervonenkis, A. Ya.(1971). On the uniform convergence of relative frequencies of event to their probabilities. *Theory of Probability and Its applications*. 16, 264-280.
- [2] van der Vaart A. W. and Wellner J. A.(1996). *Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes With Applications to Statistics*. Springer, New-York.
- [3] Gaenssler, P.(1983). Empirical processes. IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, Hayward, California..
- [4] Pollard D.(1984). Convergence of Stochastic Processes. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [5] Jarque, C. M. and A. K. Bera.(1987). A test for normality of observations and regression residuals. *International Statistical Review*. 55(5): 163-172.
- [6] Lo, G.S., Thiam, O. and Haidara C.M.(2015) High Moments Jarque-Bera Tests for Arbitrary Distribution Functions. *Applied Mathematics*. Vol. 6 (5), 707-716. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/am.2015.64066>
- [7] Bollingsley, P.(1968). Convergence of probability measures. Wiley, new-York.

LSTA, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, FRANCE AND LERSTAD, UNIVERSITÉ GASTON BERGER DE SAINT-LOUIS, SENEGAL, GANE-SAMB.LO@UGB.EDU.SN, GANESAMBLO@GANESAMBLO.NET

LERSTAD, UNIVERSITÉ GASTON BERGER DE SAINT-LOUIS, SENEGAL